LLNL-PRES-831931 (Unclassified)

## **LLNL Project Analysis**

February 2022 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

# Neutron image simulations - MCNP6 vs. COG11



#### James Hall PLS Directorate / NACS Division







This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



#### **Problem: Compare MCNP6 and COG11 neutron image simulations**

#### • Monte Carlo simulations:

- abstract 14.100 MeV neutron source
  - 7 mm Ø spot viewed @ 45° w.r.t. imaging axis \*
- W shell/HDPE sleeve test object ("PK1") -
  - 5.000" Ø, 0.500" wall spherical W shell
  - 2.000" Ø, 0.500" wall cylindrical HDPE sleeve
  - SOD = 30.00 cm, ODD = 31.00 cm (M ~ 2.033:1)
- abstract neutron imaging detector
  - 1024 x 1024 array w/ 400 µm pixel pitch
  - MCNP6 "FMESH01" boundary-crossing tallies
  - COG11 "ptcnts" boundary-crossing tallies
  - total & scatter images [n/pixel/(src n)]





\* Note: Analogous to Thermo-Fischer P385 DTn source used in recent experiments at LLNL.

### **Open field neutron images (I<sub>o</sub>)** ...



(1024 x 1024 MCNP6.2 FMESH01 tally w/ 400  $\mu$ m pixel pitch )

\* Note: Images are shown at the same contrast level for comparison.

COG11 Simulated Image



( 1024 x 1024 COG11.3 imaging tally w/ 400  $\mu m$  pixel pitch )



#### Open field neutron images (I<sub>o</sub>) ... \*



\* Note: Direct comparison of unscaled MCNP6.2 & COG11.3 simulated images.

#### Open field neutron images (MCNP6/COG11 ratio) ... \*



\* Note: No materials are involved in the open field simulations. Differences in response are due primarily to statistical jitter.

#### PK1 total neutron images (I) ...



( 1024 x 1024 MCNP6.2 FMESH01 tally w/ 400  $\mu$ m pixel pitch )

\* Note: Images are shown at the same contrast level for comparison.

COG11 Simulated Image



( 1024 x 1024 COG11.3 imaging tally w/ 400  $\mu$ m pixel pitch )



#### PK1 total neutron images (I) ... \*



\* Note: Direct comparison of unscaled MCNP6.2 & COG11.3 simulated images.

#### PK1 total neutron images (MCNP6/COG11 ratio) ... \*



\* Note: Differences in response are due primarily to statistical jitter.

#### PK1 scattered neutron images ...



(1024 x 1024 MCNP6.2 FMESH01 tally w/ 400  $\mu$ m pixel pitch )

\* Note: Images are shown at the same contrast level for comparison.

COG11 Simulated Image \*



( 1024 x 1024 COG11.3 imaging tally w/ 400  $\mu$ m pixel pitch )



#### PK1 scattered neutron images ... \*



\* Note: Direct comparison of unscaled MCNP6.2 & COG11.3 simulated images.

#### PK1 scattered neutron images (MCNP6/COG11 ratio) ... \*



\* Note: Differences in response are due primarily to statistical jitter.

#### PK1 flat field (I/I<sub>o</sub>) neutron images ...



(1024 x 1024 MCNP6.2 FMESH01 tally w/ 400  $\mu$ m pixel pitch )

\* Note: Images are shown at the same contrast level for comparison.



( 1024 x 1024 COG11.3 imaging tally w/ 400  $\mu$ m pixel pitch )



#### PK1 flat field (I/I<sub>o</sub>) neutron images ... \*



\* Note: Direct comparison of unscaled MCNP6.2 & COG11.3 simulated images.

13

#### PK1 flat field neutron images (MCNP6/COG11 ratio) ... \*



\* Note: Differences in response are due primarily to statistical jitter.

14

- MCNP6- and COG11-generated neutron images are essentially identical.
  - differences in response are due primarily to statistical jitter
    - identical geometry models with mixed-Z test object
    - same nuclear data libraries for materials (ENDF/B-VIII.0)
- The relative efficiency of the two codes depends strongly on scenario.
  - MCNP6 was ~ 60% faster than COG11 in small-scale test runs
    - e.g. 1B particle serial mode runs w/ 32 x 32 pixel arrays
  - COG11 was > 10X faster than MCNP6 in large-scale production runs \*
    - e.g. 15B particle parallel mode runs w/ 1024 x 1024 pixel arrays

\* Note: "Your mileage may vary." MCNP6 has a number of inscrutable idiosyncrasies when it comes to running FMESH detector tallies...





